



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1016/j.maturitas.2018.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2018.07.001)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Veronese, N., Koyanagi, A., Solmi, M., Thompson, T., Maggi, S., Schofield, P., Mueller, C., Gale, C. R., Cooper, C., & Stubbs, B. (2018). Pain is not associated with cognitive decline in older adults: A four-year longitudinal study. *Maturitas*, 115, 92-96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2018.07.001>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Accepted Manuscript

Title: PAIN IS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH COGNITIVE
DECLINE IN OLDER ADULTS: A FOUR-YEAR
LONGITUDINAL STUDY

Authors: Nicola Veronese, Ai Koyanagi, Marco Solmi, Trevor
Thompson, Stefania Maggi, Patricia Schofield, Christoph
Mueller, Catharine R. Gale, Cyrus Cooper, Brendon Stubbs



PII: S0378-5122(18)30294-9
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2018.07.001>
Reference: MAT 7031

To appear in: *Maturitas*

Received date: 25-4-2018
Revised date: 17-6-2018
Accepted date: 1-7-2018

Please cite this article as: Veronese N, Koyanagi A, Solmi M, Thompson T, Maggi S, Schofield P, Mueller C, Gale CR, Cooper C, Stubbs B, PAIN IS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH COGNITIVE DECLINE IN OLDER ADULTS: A FOUR-YEAR LONGITUDINAL STUDY, *Maturitas* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2018.07.001>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

**PAIN IS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH COGNITIVE DECLINE IN OLDER ADULTS:
A FOUR-YEAR LONGITUDINAL STUDY**

Nicola Veronese^{1,2*}, Ai Koyanagi³, Marco Solmi⁴, Trevor Thompson⁵, Stefania Maggi¹, Patricia Schofield¹¹, Christoph Mueller^{9,10}, Catharine R Gale^{6,7}, Cyrus Cooper^{6,8,9}, Brendon Stubbs^{10,11,12}

¹ National Research Council, Neuroscience Institute, Padova, Italy.

² Geriatrics Unit, Department of OrthoGeriatrics, Rehabilitation and Stabilization, Frailty Area, Galliera Hospital, Genova, Italy.

³ Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu, Universitat de Barcelona, Fundació Sant Joan de Déu/CIBERSAM, Barcelona, Spain.

⁴ Department of Neuroscience, University of Padova, Padova, Italy.

⁵ Faculty of Education and Health, University of Greenwich, London SE9 2UG

⁶ MRC Lifecourse Epidemiology Unit, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK.

⁷ Centre for Cognitive Ageing & Cognitive Epidemiology, Department of Psychology, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK.

⁸ Oxford NIHR Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, University of Oxford, Windmill Road, Oxford, UK.

⁹ National Institute for Health Research, Nutrition Biomedical Research Centre, University of Southampton and University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK.

¹⁰ Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, De Crespigny Park, London, UK.

¹¹ South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London, UK.

¹² Faculty of Health, Social Care and Education, Anglia Ruskin University, Chelmsford, UK.

***Corresponding author:**

Nicola Veronese, MD

National Research Council, Neuroscience Institute, Padova, Italy

Via Giustiniani, 2 - 35128 Padova, Italy

Phone: +39 0498211746; Fax: +39 0498211218

Email: ilmannato@gmail.com

Highlights

- Pain seems to be associated with worse cognition in cross-sectional studies.
- In our study, after 4 years of follow-up, pain was not associated with worse cognition.
- Only severe pain was associated with worsening in memory.

ABSTRACT

The finding of a potential association between pain and cognitive decline is limited to a few cross-sectional studies with relatively samples. We therefore aimed to investigate whether the presence and severity of pain at baseline could predict a decline in cognitive function over four years of follow-up in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. At baseline, participants with no dementia who were “often troubled by pain” were considered to have pain. Pain severity was categorized as mild, moderate, or severe. Cognitive function was explored through verbal fluency (assessed by asking how many different animals the participants could name in 60 seconds), memory (sum of

immediate and delayed verbal memory) and processing speed (number of target letters correctly identified on the letter cancellation task). Multivariable linear regression was used (exposure: pain; outcomes: cognitive change between follow-up and baseline, based on standardized residuals). Altogether, 6,515 community-dwelling people with a mean age of 65 years (women=57.3%) were included. Over a 4-year follow-up, after adjusting for 26 potential confounders, no association between pain (yes vs. no) and verbal fluency (beta=0.02; 95%CI: -0.15 to 0.18), memory (0.05; 95%CI: -0.28 to 0.38), or processing speed (0.55; 95%CI: --18.4 to 2.93) at follow-up was found. Only severe pain was associated with greater decline in memory (-0.36; 95%CI: -0.68 to -0.04). In conclusion, in older people, pain was not associated with worsening in cognition, except for severe pain, which was marginally associated with worsening in memory tests. Further longitudinal studies are needed to confirm or refute our findings.

Keywords: pain; memory; cognitive decline; elderly.

INTRODUCTION

Pain is a frequently reported symptom, with over half of older adults experiencing pain.[1] Pain is associated with a range of adverse outcomes in older age, including a deterioration of activities of daily living, physical and mobility disability[2, 3], low physical activity [4], falls[5], fear of falling[6] and frailty.[7][8] It has been hypothesized that the increased risk of falls and subsequent mobility limitation in older people with pain may partly be attributed to impaired cognition.[9-11]

Whilst research has started to consider the impact of pain on cognition in older age, it has been limited by small samples, cross-sectional designs, and a small number of tests assessing cognitive functioning.[9-14] Thus, it remains unclear whether pain is associated with various important subdomains of cognition. One recent study with a large cohort of American participants found that persistent pain was associated with a more rapid memory decline and with a moderate increase in the incidence of dementia compared with those without persistent pain.[15] Although this study

helps advance our understanding of the link between pain and the onset of poor cognitive status, some important confounders known to influence cognition in older age (such as physical activity[16]) were not assessed. Moreover, only six comorbidities were included, and thus some important causes of pain and/or cognitive decline in the elderly were not considered.[15] Given the high levels of pain in older adults[17] and the need to identify potential modifiable risk factors for cognitive decline, it is important that robust longitudinal research considers this important question.

Given this background, we aimed to explore whether the presence of pain at baseline could predict any decline in performance on several cognitive tests assessed in the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA), an ongoing cohort study of community-dwelling older people, over four years of follow-up. In a secondary analysis, we explored whether the severity of pain is associated with declines in performance on cognitive tests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The survey

The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) is a nationally representative longitudinal ongoing study of 11,050 people living in England aged 50 and over. The first assessment was conducted in 2002/3 with an extensive nurse visit every four years and a face-to-face interview every two years (<http://www.elsa-project.ac.uk/>). For the purposes of the present analyses, we used data from wave 2 (2004/2005) (baseline) and wave 4 (2008/2009), since these two waves included all the cognitive tests mentioned below.

Participants gave full informed consent to participate in the study and ethical approval was obtained from the London Multi-center Research Ethics Committee.

Exposure: pain

At baseline (wave 2), participants were asked if they were “often troubled by pain”. If they responded “no,” their response was coded as “no pain”. Those who responded affirmatively were asked to evaluate the intensity of their pain as mild, moderate or severe.

Outcome variables: changes in cognitive tests

Cognitive function was evaluated in the ELSA through several tests. For our research, we included three domains of cognition, namely verbal fluency, memory and processing speed.[18] Verbal fluency was assessed by asking how many different animals the participants could name in 60 seconds. Memory was calculated as the sum of immediate and delayed verbal memory. Specifically, to each participant, a list of 10 nouns was presented on a computer, one every 2 s. Participants were asked to recall as many words as possible immediately and again after a short delay during which they carried out the other cognitive tests. As a measure of processing speed, the score of the number of target letters correctly identified on the letter cancellation task was taken. Briefly, for this last task, participants were given a clipboard to which a page of 780 random letters of the alphabet set out in a grid of 26 rows and 30 columns was attached. The participant was asked to cross out as many target letters (P and W) as possible in 1 min. An example was given at the top of the page to show participants how to cross out the letters. Participants were asked to work across and down the page as if they were reading and to perform the task as quickly and accurately as possible.

To calculate the degree of cognitive change between wave 4 and 2, we carried out a linear regression analysis using the values of each test at wave 2 as independent variables, and scores of cognitive tests at wave 4 as dependent variables and using the standardized residual as a measure of cognitive change.

Other covariates

We considered several potential confounders in the association between pain and cognitive tests, other than age, sex, race: (1) education, descriptively reported as formal education (“some college” and “college and above”) vs. other (no education, high-school, high-school graduate); (2) marital status, categorized as married vs. others (not married, divorced, singles, not known); (3) smoking habits, categorized as current/former vs. never; (4) disability, categorized as having at least one difficulty in activities of daily living (ADL) vs. no difficulty; (5) body mass index (BMI), measured by a trained nurse; (6) self-reported physical activity, assessed by questions on the frequency of participation in vigorous, moderate and light physical activities (more than once per week, once per week, one to three times per month, hardly ever) and descriptively reported as high vs. other levels; (7) alcohol consumption, categorized as yes vs. no in the last week; (8) depressive symptoms, through an 8-item version of the CES-D[19]; (9) household wealth, calculated as total net non-pension household wealth, which is a summary measure of the value of financial, physical and housing wealth owned by the household (i.e., a single respondent or a responding couple along with any dependent individuals) minus any debt.

Medical conditions were defined according to whether participants were told by a doctor they had arthritis, osteoporosis, stroke, heart problems (heart attack, congestive heart failure, angina, acute myocardial infarction, arrhythmia), lung diseases (chronic lung disease or asthma), cancer, diabetes, high blood pressure/hypertension, or Parkinson’s disease. Information at baseline was used for all the above-mentioned covariates.

Statistical analyses

Normal distributions of continuous variables were tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The data were normally distributed and therefore means \pm standard deviations (SDs) were used to describe quantitative measures. Percentages were used for all discrete variables. For comparing descriptive characteristics by pain status (yes vs. no), continuous variables were compared using an independent Student’s test, whilst a chi-square test was used for categorical variables.

The strength of the association between pain at baseline and cognitive changes occurring between waves 2 and 4 was assessed through a linear regression analysis in two models, one adjusted only for age and sex (basic) and one adjusted for all baseline factors known to be associated with poor cognition and significantly different between people with pain and those without, taking a p-value <0.10 as the inclusion criterion for both situations (fully adjusted multivariable model). Multicollinearity was assessed with the variance inflation factor (VIF), taking a cut-off of 2 for exclusion, but no covariate was excluded for this reason. The results were reported as betas with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We also reported the model's fits as R^2 .

In the secondary analyses, we assessed whether pain categorized according to its severity (i.e. mild, moderate, severe) could affect cognitive change using a linear regression analysis, reported as fully adjusted betas with 95% CIs.

We performed several sensitivity analyses using as potential moderators of our results the median values for continuous variables and the original division for categorical parameters. However, none of the interaction terms between pain and these potential moderators was significant in predicting performance on cognitive tests at follow-up (all p-values >0.05).

All analyses were done using the SPSS 21.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). All statistical analyses were two-tailed, and a p-value <0.05 was assumed to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Study population

In total, 9,432 participants took part at wave 2, of whom 8,960 had complete data on pain, cognitive function and the covariates. Of these 8,960, we included 6,515 at wave 4 (2,187 were lost during the follow-up, 242 died between the surveys, and 16 participants received a diagnosis of dementia).

The 2,445 participants excluded at wave 4 due to missing cognitive tests at follow-up or who had died were significantly older (67.8 ± 11.8 vs. 65.0 ± 9.7 years, $p < 0.0001$) than those included ($n = 6,515$). Moreover, the excluded participants were significantly more likely to have pain (42.2 vs. 35.6%, $p < 0.0001$) and scored worse in all the cognitive tests assessed at wave 2 ($p < 0.0001$ for all comparisons).

Baseline characteristics

The mean \pm SD age of the 6,515 participants was 65.0 ± 9.7 years (range: 52-90), with a slight majority of women (57.3%) and almost all white (98.1%).

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the 6,515 subjects by absence or presence of pain. Among the 2,317 participants who reported experiencing pain (35.6% of the baseline population), 697 (=10.7% of baseline population), 1,166 (=17.9%) and 450 (=6.9%) reported mild, moderate and severe pain, respectively.

As reported in **Table 1**, those reporting any pain were significantly older and more frequently women ($p < 0.0001$ for both comparisons) than the 4,198 individuals not reporting pain at baseline. Moreover, a significantly smaller proportion of people with pain reported drinking alcohol in the last week or engaged in a high level of physical activity; also, the group reporting no pain had a

higher proportion of smokers but a much smaller proportion of disabled people were present (**Table 1**).

The participants with pain had a significantly higher prevalence of all the diseases investigated and reported higher depressive symptoms than those with no pain (**Table 1**). Finally, participants with pain had worse baseline scores than participants with no pain for verbal fluency and memory ($p < 0.0001$ for both comparisons), but not for processing speed ($p = 0.64$).

Follow-up data

Of the 2,317 participants experiencing pain at baseline, 1,492 had pain at wave 4 (64.4%), whilst among 4,198 participants who did not report pain at baseline, 852 had pain at wave 4 (20.3%).

Table 2 reports the association between baseline pain and cognitive change between wave 2 and wave 4. After adjusting for 26 potential confounders, no association between pain and change in verbal fluency (0.02 points; 95%CI: -0.15 to 0.18; $p = 0.85$), memory (0.05 points; 95%CI: -0.28 to 0.38; $p = 0.77$) or processing speed (0.55 points; 95%CI: -18.4 to 2.93; $p = 0.65$) was found (**Table 2**). In a sensitivity analysis, we excluded comorbidities at baseline from the models since these can be a mediator in the pathway between pain and cognitive worsening and could potentially attenuate the association between pain and cognition. However, only a slight difference in results was observed in this sensitivity analysis and pain was not significantly associated with change in verbal fluency (-0.009 points; 95%CI: -0.33 to 0.31; $p = 0.95$), memory (-0.02 points; 95%CI: -0.18 to 0.15; $p = 0.85$) or processing speed (-2.21 points; 95%CI: -7.85 to 4.25; $p = 0.57$) (other details not shown).

Table 3 shows the association between pain (categorized according to its severity as mild, moderate or severe) and cognitive changes between wave 2 and 4. Taking people with no pain as the reference group and after adjusting our analyses for all the confounders mentioned before, the severity of pain was not associated with a decrease in performance on any cognitive tests, with the

exception of memory, where severe pain was associated with a decline (-0.36 points; 95%CI: -0.68 to -0.04; $p=0.04$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, involving a large sample of community-dwelling older people, we found that pain was associated with poorer performance on some cognitive tests cross-sectionally (at baseline), but not with significant changes in these scores after four years of follow-up. We also found that after adjustment for many potential confounders, previously significant associations disappeared.

Previous literature reports that pain could be associated with cognitive dysfunction through several mechanisms. In a pivotal review regarding this issue[20], the authors reported that pre-clinical and clinical studies suggest three theories: (1) competing limited resources, (2) neuroplasticity and (3) dysregulated neurochemistry. Regarding the first point, it was hypothesized that pain may compete with other attention-demanding stimuli for limited cognitive resources.[21] Thus, the presence of pain stimuli may impair top-down attentional control mechanisms which filter out task-irrelevant stimuli, resulting in impaired task performance. [21] Regarding the second point, as shown by neuroimaging studies, pain seems to be associated with a reduction of grey matter in the insular cortex and in neurogenesis in the hippocampus, two key structures for cognition.[21] Third, pain seems to be associated with an imbalance in several neurotransmitters, in particular a reduction in brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF)[22], an increase in activity in the glutamate inhibitor pathway[23] and in GABA signaling[24], leading to a reduction in cognitive function. However, other factors, such as the use of analgesic medications, are probably important in explaining the association between pain and cognitive function.[20]

Our results contrast with some previous literature on the relationship between pain and a worsening in cognitive function. Several cross-sectional studies reported a significant association between pain

and cognitive function.[9-14] A large recent longitudinal study with more than 10,000 participants followed up for 10 years reported that pain at baseline was associated with an accelerated memory decline and increased risk for dementia.[15] A number of hypotheses can explain the differences between our research and previous papers. First, and probably more important, is the number and type of covariates used for adjusting the analyses. Indeed, we adjusted for 26 potential confounders, including physical comorbidities associated with pain, such as osteoporosis[25], arthritis[26], and cancer[27], which seem to be associated with poor cognitive performance, whilst previous studies adjusted only for some of these confounders. Thus, it is difficult to state whether pain *per se* or the comorbidities associated with pain are the risk factors for cognitive decline. However, a sensitivity analysis excluding comorbidities from the models showed that that results were largely unchanged. Second, the tests used for assessing cognitive function in previous papers were different from those used here. Third, compared with the largest work regarding this topic [15], we found a difference of about 8 years between our study and that study, which could further influence our results. It is possible that results would have been different if we had used different cognitive function tests or if the follow-up time was longer. Finally, there may be an element of survival bias, where we excluded a considerable portion of people due to missing data at follow-up, who may have had worse cognitive function at baseline and higher prevalence of pain. Unfortunately, during the course of longitudinal follow-up studies, many older participants drop out. When dropout is dependent on unknown or unmeasured parameters (as in our study), there is no easy solution for bias correction. [28] Thus, it is important to highlight that our results may be biased by this high rate of dropouts during follow-up period.

Severe pain at baseline was associated with declines in memory test scores assessed through immediate and delayed word recall. However, the result was only marginally significant. Thus, this result should be interpreted with caution. The literature so far in both clinical and pre-clinical settings, in fact, has reported that pain reduced all aspects of cognitive function – those assessed in

our study (verbal fluency, memory and processing speed) as well as others (such as general cognition assessed through common tests like the mini-mental state examination).[9-15] However, the different tests used to assess cognitive function in previous studies and ours make direct comparisons difficult. Further studies are needed to assess whether our results can be replicated, and whether severe pain is more likely to be associated with cognitive decline in some domains (e.g., memory) than others.

The findings of our study should be interpreted within their limitations. First, more than 3,000 participants were lost to follow-up. These individuals were older and were more likely to have pain and perform poorly on cognitive tests. Thus, attrition bias may exist. It is also possible that people experiencing more pain at wave 2 died before showing any decrease in cognitive tests (survival bias). Second, pain was assessed only through two questions, and retrospectively, and information on the site of pain, the use of analgics or its chronicity were not collected, and sophisticated tools for assessing pain (e.g. numerical rating scale) were not used. Third, due to the observational nature of our study, we cannot deduce the exact direction of effect of our findings. Finally, cognitive ability test scores in older people may reflect not only a possible decline, but also their peak prior cognitive ability[28], but we did not have any information regarding the trajectories of their cognitive function during the lifespan.

In conclusion, our large study involving older community-dwelling participants suggests that cognitive decline may be more pronounced among those with pain, but only due to the presence of factors associated with both pain and poor cognition. Since pain could be treated with medications and other interventions, further studies are needed to better understand the association between pain and cognition in the elderly.

Contributors

Nicola Veronese was responsible for statistical analysis and drafting of the manuscript.

Ai Koyanagi was responsible for statistical analysis (supervision) and drafting of the manuscript.

Marco Solmi was responsible for data interpretation

Trevor Thompson was responsible for critical revision of the paper

Stefania Maggi was responsible for data interpretation

Patricia Schofield was responsible for drafting of the manuscript

Christoph Mueller was responsible for critical revisions of paper

Catharine R Gale was responsible for critical revision of the paper

Cyrus Cooper was responsible for critical revision of the paper

Brendon Stubbs was responsible for study design, study supervision and critical revision of the paper.

All authors saw and approved the final article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Funding

The study did not receive any specific funding. The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing was developed by a team of researchers based at University College London, the National Centre for Social Research and the Institute for Fiscal Studies. The data were collected by the National Centre for Social Research. The funding was provided by the National Institute of Aging in the United States, and a consortium of UK government departments coordinated by the Office for National Statistics. The developers and funders of the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing and the UK Data Archive do not bear any responsibility for the analyses or interpretations presented here.

Funding from the British Heart Foundation, Cancer Research UK, Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC RES-590-28-0005), Medical Research Council (MR/KO232331/1), the Welsh Assembly Government and the Wellcome Trust (WT087640MA), under the auspices of the UK Clinical Research Collaboration, and the contribution is gratefully acknowledged.

A.K.'s work is supported by the Miguel Servet contract financed by the CP13/00150 and PI15/00862 projects, integrated into the National R + D + I and funded by the ISCIII - General Branch Evaluation and Promotion of Health Research - and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF-FEDER).

Ethical approval

Participants gave full informed consent to participate in the study and ethical approval was obtained from the London Multi-center Research Ethics Committee.

Provenance and peer review

This article has undergone peer review.

Research data (data sharing and collaboration)

Data are available from the UK Data Service for researchers who meet the criteria for access to confidential data. Data are from waves 2 and 4 of the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA). Data and contact details may be obtained via the website <http://www.adls.ac.uk/find-administrative-data/linked-administrative-data/english-longitudinal-study-of-ageing>.

REFERENCES

1. Elliott AM, Smith BH, Penny KI, Smith WC, Chambers WA. The epidemiology of chronic pain in the community. *Lancet* (London, England). 1999 Oct 09;354(9186):1248-52.
2. Shah RC, Buchman AS, Boyle PA, Leurgans SE, Wilson RS, Andersson GB, et al. Musculoskeletal pain is associated with incident mobility disability in community-dwelling elders. *The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. 2011 Jan;66(1):82-8.
3. Edwards RR. Age differences in the correlates of physical functioning in patients with chronic pain. *Journal of aging and health*. 2006 Feb;18(1):56-69.
4. Stubbs B, Binnekade TT, Soundy A, Schofield P, Huijnen IPJ, Eggermont LHP. Are older adults with chronic musculoskeletal pain less active than older adults without pain? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain medicine* (Malden, Mass). 2013;14(9):1316-31.
5. Stubbs B, Schofield P, Binnekade T, Patchay S, Sepehry A, Eggermont L. Pain is associated with recurrent falls in community-dwelling older adults: evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pain medicine* (Malden, Mass). 2014;15(7):1115-28.
6. Stubbs B, Eggermont LHP, Patchay S, Schofield PA. Pain interference is associated with psychological concerns related to falls in community-dwelling older adults: multisite observational study. *Physical therapy*. 2014;94(10):1410-20.
7. Veronese N, Maggi S, Trevisan C, Noale M, De Rui M, Bolzetta F, et al. Pain Increases the Risk of Developing Frailty in Older Adults with Osteoarthritis. *Pain medicine* (Malden, Mass). 2017 Mar 01;18(3):414-27.
8. Wade KF, Marshall A, Vanhoutte B, Wu FC, O'Neill TW, Lee DM. Does Pain Predict Frailty in Older Men and Women? Findings From the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA). *The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. 2017 Mar 01;72(3):403-9.
9. van der Leeuw G, Eggermont LH, Shi L, Milberg WP, Gross AL, Hausdorff JM, et al. Pain and Cognitive Function Among Older Adults Living in the Community. *The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. 2016 Mar;71(3):398-405.
10. Dublin S, Walker RL, Gray SL, Hubbard RA, Anderson ML, Yu O, et al. Prescription Opioids and Risk of Dementia or Cognitive Decline: A Prospective Cohort Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. 2015 Aug;63(8):1519-26.
11. Shega JW, Weiner DK, Paice JA, Bilir SP, Rockwood K, Herr K, et al. The association between noncancer pain, cognitive impairment, and functional disability: an analysis of the Canadian study of health and aging. *The journals of gerontology Series A, Biological sciences and medical sciences*. 2010 Aug;65(8):880-6.
12. Apkarian AV, Sosa Y, Krauss BR, Thomas PS, Fredrickson BE, Levy RE, et al. Chronic pain patients are impaired on an emotional decision-making task. *Pain*. 2004 Mar;108(1-2):129-36.
13. Dick BD, Rashiq S. Disruption of attention and working memory traces in individuals with chronic pain. *Anesthesia and analgesia*. 2007 May;104(5):1223-9, tables of contents.
14. Oosterman JM, Derksen LC, van Wijck AJ, Veldhuijzen DS, Kessels RP. Memory functions in chronic pain: examining contributions of attention and age to test performance. *Clin J Pain*. 2011 Jan;27(1):70-5.
15. Whitlock EL, Diaz-Ramirez LG, Glymour MM, Boscardin WJ, Covinsky KE, Smith AK. Association Between Persistent Pain and Memory Decline and Dementia in a Longitudinal Cohort of Elders. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2017 Jun 05.
16. Stubbs B, Chen LJ, Chang CY, Sun WJ, Ku PW. Accelerometer-assessed light physical activity is protective of future cognitive ability: A longitudinal study among community dwelling older adults. *Experimental gerontology*. 2017 May;91:104-9.
17. Abdulla A, Adams N, Bone M, Elliott AM, Gaffin J, Jones D, et al. Guidance on the management of pain in older people. *Age and ageing*. 2013 Mar;42 Suppl 1:i1-57.

18. Gale CR, Cooper C, Deary IJ, Aihie Sayer A. Psychological well-being and incident frailty in men and women: the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *Psychological medicine*. 2014;44(4):697-706.
19. Steptoe A, Breeze E, Banks J, Nazroo J. Cohort profile: the English longitudinal study of ageing. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2013;42(6):1640-8.
20. Moriarty O, McGuire BE, Finn DP. The effect of pain on cognitive function: a review of clinical and preclinical research. *Progress in neurobiology*. 2011;93(3):385-404.
21. Grisart JM, Van der Linden M. Conscious and automatic uses of memory in chronic pain patients. *Pain*. 2001 Dec;94(3):305-13.
22. Nijs J, Meeus M, Versijpt J, Moens M, Bos I, Knaepen K, et al. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor as a driving force behind neuroplasticity in neuropathic and central sensitization pain: a new therapeutic target? Expert opinion on therapeutic targets. 2015 Apr;19(4):565-76.
23. Malet M, Brumovsky PR. VGLUTs and Glutamate Synthesis-Focus on DRG Neurons and Pain. *Biomolecules*. 2015 Dec 02;5(4):3416-37.
24. Lau BK, Vaughan CW. Descending modulation of pain: the GABA disinhibition hypothesis of analgesia. *Current opinion in neurobiology*. 2014 Dec;29:159-64.
25. Chang KH, Chung CJ, Lin CL, Sung FC, Wu TN, Kao CH. Increased risk of dementia in patients with osteoporosis: a population-based retrospective cohort analysis. *Age (Dordrecht, Netherlands)*. 2014 Apr;36(2):967-75.
26. Huang SW, Wang WT, Chou LC, Liao CD, Liou TH, Lin HW. Osteoarthritis increases the risk of dementia: a nationwide cohort study in Taiwan. *Scientific reports*. 2015 May 18;5:10145.
27. Roe CM, Fitzpatrick AL, Xiong C, Sieh W, Kuller L, Miller JP, et al. Cancer linked to Alzheimer disease but not vascular dementia. *Neurology*. 2010 Jan 12;74(2):106-12.
28. Gale CR, Deary IJ, Cooper C, Batty GD. Intelligence in childhood and chronic widespread pain in middle age: the National Child Development Survey. *Pain*. 2012 Dec;153(12):2339-44.

Figures and table

Table 1. Baseline characteristics by presence or absence of pain.

Variable	Pain (+) (n=2317)	Pain (-) (n=4198)	p-value
<i>General characteristics</i>			
Age (years)	65.5 (9.5)	64.2 (9.7)	<0.0001
Females (%)	53.7	63.7	<0.0001
College and above (%)	17.1	9.3	<0.0001
Married (%)	68.3	66.0	0.06

Variable	Pain (+) (n=2317)	Pain (-) (n=4198)	p-value
Alcohol drinking (%)	28.8	35.1	<0.0001
Present/previous smokers (%)	60.0	64.8	<0.0001
High physical activity level (%)	24.3	14.9	<0.0001
Disabled (%)	36.0	7.8	<0.0001
Whites (%)	98.6	97.3	0.001
Household wealth (£)	235,485±391,888	321,455±461,741	<0.0001
Body mass index (Kg/m ²)	29.1 (5.5)	27.4 (4.5)	<0.0001
<i>Medical conditions</i>			
Angina (%)	14.1	6.8	<0.0001
Myocardial infarction (%)	7.1	4.5	0.001
Heart failure (%)	1.8	0.7	0.007
Arrhythmia (%)	12.3	6.7	<0.0001
Arthritis (%)	65.0	25.4	<0.0001
Osteoporosis (%)	12.1	4.1	<0.0001
Stroke (%)	5.7	4.2	<0.0001
Parkinson's disease (%)	0.6	0.4	0.21
Lung disease (%)	10.8	4.7	<0.0001
Asthma (%)	12.5	11.1	<0.0001
Cancer (%)	7.9	6.9	0.20
Diabetes (%)	11.2	7.0	<0.0001
High blood pressure (%)	52.5	38.1	<0.0001
CESD (points)	2.2 (2.2)	1.1 (1.6)	<0.0001

Variable	Pain (+) (n=2317)	Pain (-) (n=4198)	p-value
<i>Cognitive tests (at wave 2)</i>			
Verbal fluency	19.7 (6.4)	21.0 (6.5)	<0.0001
Memory	9.9 (3.7)	10.6 (3.5)	<0.0001
Processing speed	294 (105)	296 (98)	0.64

Notes: Numbers are mean (standard deviations) or percentages as appropriate.

Abbreviations: CESD: Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression.

Table 2. Association between baseline presence of pain and change in scores of cognitive tests between wave 4 and 2.

	<i>Sample size</i>	<i>Basic-adjusted beta (95%CI)</i>	<i>p – value</i>	<i>R²</i>	<i>Fully-adjusted beta (95%CI)</i>	<i>p – value</i>	<i>R²</i>
Verbal fluency	6440	0.73 (0.52-0.93)	<0.0001	0.10	0.02 (-0.15; 0.18)	0.85	0.51
Memory	6440	1.43 (1.04-1.82)	<0.0001	0.10	0.05 (-0.28; 0.38)	0.77	0.48
Processing speed	6515	-1.50 (-3.73; 0.72)	0.19	0.00	0.55 (-18.4; 2.93)	0.65	0.19

Notes:

Unless otherwise specified, data are presented as betas and their 95% confidence intervals, using the standardized residuals at wave 4 as outcome.

In all the elaborations, those with no pain at baseline were taken as reference.

Basic-adjusted model included age (as continuous) and gender; fully-adjusted model includes, other than age and sex, baseline values of: race; educational level (as continuous variable); marital status (married vs. others); household wealth; activities of daily living score; CES-D score; body mass index; smoking habits (present/former vs. never); physical activity level; alcohol drinking (yes vs. no); presence at baseline of angina, myocardial infarction, heart failure, arrhythmia, stroke, arthritis, osteoporosis, Parkinson's disease, lung disease, asthma, cancer, diabetes, high blood pressure (all yes vs. no); cognitive test values at wave 2.

Table 3. Association between baseline severity of pain and change in scores of cognitive tests between wave 4 and 2.

	<i>No pain</i> (<i>n=4,198</i>)	<i>Mild pain</i> (<i>n=697</i>)	<i>p-value</i>	<i>Moderate pain</i> (<i>n=1,166</i>)	<i>p-value</i>	<i>Severe pain</i> (<i>n=450</i>)	<i>p-value</i>
Verbal fluency	Reference	0.07 (-0.38; 0.53)	0.75	-0.18 (-0.58; 0.23)	0.39	0.06 (-0.57; 0.69)	0.85
Memory	Reference	0.02 (-0.22; 0.25)	0.89	0.05 (-0.16; 0.26)	0.63	-0.36 (-0.68; -0.04)	0.04
Processing speed	Reference	3.02 (-6.55; 12.59)	0.54	-0.08 (-8.53; 8.38)	0.99	0.82 (-12.32; 13.95)	0.90

Notes:

Unless otherwise specified, data are presented as fully-adjusted betas and their 95% confidence intervals, using the standardized residuals at wave 4 as outcome.

In all the elaborations, those with no pain at baseline were taken as reference.

Fully-adjusted model includes: age (as continuous); gender; race; educational level (as continuous variable); marital status (married vs. others); household wealth; activities of daily living score; CES-D score; body mass index; smoking habits (present/former vs. never); physical activity level; alcohol drinking (yes vs. no); presence at baseline of angina, myocardial infarction, heart failure, arrhythmia, stroke, arthritis, osteoporosis, Parkinson's disease, lung disease, asthma, cancer, diabetes, high blood pressure (all yes vs. no); cognitive test values at wave 2.